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The Shift to the Cloud Requires New Business Models & Software Development Approaches

Traditional Enterprise
Monolithic | Stateful | OS or VM bound
Scale up | Silo’d

Cloud Environments
Microservices | Mix of stateless / stateful
Containerized | Scale out | Devops | Multi-tenant
Qualcomm Centriq™ 2400

World’s first 10nm server processor

Qualcomm® Falkor™ CPU
5th-Generation Custom Core Design / ARMv8-Compliant

High core count
Up to 48 cores / Single-thread CPUs

Highly Integrated Server SoC
Distributed Architecture / Single Chip
Platform-level Solution / ARM SBSA Level 3 Compliant

Qualcomm Centriq is a product of Qualcomm Datacenter Technologies, Inc.
Qualcomm Centriq™ 2400 SoC Overview

**CPU subsystem**
- Qualcomm® Falkor™ cores
  - Up to 48 cores
  - Single-threaded CPU cores
  - 2.6 GHz peak
- Unified 512 KB L2 cache w/ECC

**SoC**
- Integrated “south bridge” features
  - DMA, SATA, USB, I2C, UART, SPI, GPIO
  - SBSA Level 3 Compliant

**L3 cache**
- 60 MB unified L3 w/ECC

**DDR4 memory**
- 6 Channels w/ECC
- 2667 MT/s
- RDIMM, LRDIMM
- 1 or 2 DIMMs per Channel

**PCIe Gen3**
- 32 Lanes
- 6 Root Port Controllers

**Package**
- 55mm x 55mm LGA
- Socketed

---

Qualcomm Centriq & Falkor are a product of Qualcomm Datacenter Technologies, Inc.
Highly Threaded

Extensible Architecture

High Transactional Performance Leadership

Supports Qualcomm Centriq™ 2400

Highly Multi-core

Scale-out Architecture

Performance per Thread per Watt Leadership

Supports MariaDB 10.3 (RC)
**Evaluating MariaDB on Qualcomm Centriq™ 2400 Processor**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>MySQL Fork’s Tested</strong></th>
<th>MariaDB, Percona Server, MySQL Server</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Code Path</strong></td>
<td>Github master branch for any MySQL fork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workload</strong></td>
<td>Sysbench1.1 and MySQLSLAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Platforms</strong></td>
<td>Intel x86, Cavium AArch64, and Qualcomm Centriq 2400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Test Type</strong></td>
<td>In-memory (tmpfs and ramdisk)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No. of Clients</strong></td>
<td>1, 4, 8 .... 512 threads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OS</strong></td>
<td>Ubuntu 16.0.4 and Centos7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C Compiler</strong></td>
<td>Standard gcc compiler as per OS distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Query Type</strong></td>
<td>Read only. Write only. Mixed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MySQL Configuration</strong></td>
<td>Buffer Pool Size: 30GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Buffer Pool Instance:  30 with 2GB log file size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Storage Engine</strong></td>
<td>InnoDB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Database Size</strong></td>
<td>20 tables with 100k records in each table (created using Sysbench)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MariaDB’s Highly-Scale, Highly-Parallel Database Architecture Aligns Well with Qualcomm Centriq 2400 High-Core, High-Performance Architecture
MariaDB Scales Exceptionally Well on Centriq™ 2400 Processor

- Performance evaluation of write queries with Sysbench
- Currently, in event mutex code while trying to acquire lock in file: `storage/innobase/include/ib0mutex`

```
MariaDB Uses

bool try_lock()
{
    int32 oldval = MUTEX_STATE_UNLOCKED;
    return(my_atomic_cas32_strong_explicit(&m_lock_word, &oldval,
        MUTEX_STATE_LOCKED,
        MY_MEMORY_ORDER_ACQUIRE,
        MY_MEMORY_ORDER_RELAXED));
}

MySQL Uses

bool tas_lock()
{
    return(TAS(&m_lock_word, MUTEX_STATE_LOCKED
        == MUTEX_STATE_UNLOCKED));
}
```
MariaDB Scales Well on Qualcomm Centriq™ 2400 Processor

- In cases of high contention with several threads attempting atomic exchange, throughput degrades
- **Key Learning:** CAS (Compare and Swap) instruction results in better TPS at higher thread counts vs TAS (Test and Set) instruction in MySQL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS VS TAS</th>
<th>sysbench runs for 300 secs</th>
<th>Greater than 1 CAS is better</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Threads</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oltp_update_index</td>
<td>TPS</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oltp_update_non_index</td>
<td>TPS</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oltp_write_only</td>
<td>TPS</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oltp_read_only</td>
<td>TPS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Approximately 2x Benefits seen Using MariaDB (CAS) over MySQL (TAS) implementation on Qualcomm Centriq 2400.
Scaling Write Query Performance

• `trx_set_rw_mode()` is never called for read-only transactions, this is guarded by callers.

• Removing read calls from the “write only trx” critical section results in 5-10% scalability improvement in OLTP index update benchmark on all the platforms.

• Sample line of code change in file storage/innobase/trx/trx0trx.cc.

```c
trx_set_rw_mode(
    if (!trx->read_only) { // Removing the condition.
        UT_LIST_ADD_FIRST(trx_sys->rw_trx_list, trx);
        ut_d(trx->in_rw_trx_list = true);
    } // Removing the braces.
)
```

* Thanks to Sergey Vojtovich of MariaDB who recently upstreamed this patch.
Scaling Complex Join Queries -- Atomic Operations

- InnoDB uses excessive atomic operations in acquiring shared locks for read queries.
- Using MySQLSLAP benchmark we simulated join between 2 on a long character primary key.
- That is, the more concurrent threads you add, the faster you can execute the same amount of work (or the higher throughput is). But ....

**Key Learning:** After 8 threads, the time to complete the same task increases gradually.

- Basically issue is coming from the concurrent access to the same pages + having a join.
- Perf profiler show heavy usage of Compare and Swap instruction while acquiring read locks.
Scaling Complex Join Queries  --  Atomic Operations

• Sample code from the function `rw_lock_lock_word_decr` in the file “storage/innobase/sync/sync0rw.cc”

```c
while (local_lock_word > threshold)
{
    if (os_compare_and_swap_lint(&lock->lock_word,
                                 local_lock_word,
                                 local_lock_word - amount))
    {
        return(true);
    }
    local_lock_word = lock->lock_word;
}
...)
```

• Other possible solutions (work in progress)
  ◦ Disabling Adaptive hash index in .cnf improves the performances by 30%.
  ◦ Queued spin lock in user space for r-w locks.
Code Optimization Opportunities in MariaDB

• Optimized InnoDB read-write transactions registry (trx_sys).
  a) It had to acquire trx_sys.mutex 4 times per oltp_update_index query
  b) All 4 trx_sys.mutex locks were eliminated. This was achieved by replacing global data structures
     protected bytrx_sys.mutex
  c) This optimisation also required massive ReadView refactoring

• Optimized InnoDB mini transactions.
  a) Mini transaction was committed 5 times per oltp_update_index query. This effectively means
     log_sys.mutex was acquired 5 times
  b) First two mini transactions (write of the first undo log record and the creation of the undo log header)
     were combined into one
  c) This effectively reduced number of log_sys.mutex acquisitions from 5 to 4
Code Optimization Opportunities in MariaDB

• Relaxed memory barriers for Arm Platforms.
  a) From SEQ_CST to RELAXED in InnoDB spin wait used by mutexes and rwlocks
  b) From SEQ_CST to minimum required barriers in InnoDB rwlocks
  c) From SEQ_CST to RELAXED in InnoDB monitor routines

• Minor performance improvement: trx_t reference counter is now accessed atomically.
  a) Eliminates 3 atomic operations and 2 memory barriers

• Optimization of \texttt{ut\_delay()} while doing a back off in spin lock code.
  a) Removing \texttt{ut\_rnd\_interval()} while calling delay function

  \begin{verbatim}
  ut\_delay\(\texttt{(ut\_rnd\_interval(0, max\_delay))}\); Previous code
  ut\_delay\(\texttt{(max\_delay)}\); Latest code pushed
  \end{verbatim}
Code Optimization Opportunities in MariaDB

• Preference of newer gcc atomic built ins over sync.

  #if defined (HAVE_GCC_ATOMIC_BUILTINS)
  <atomics>
  #else if defined (HAVE_GCC_SYNC_BUILTINS)
  <sync>

• Add AArch64 optimized crc32c implementation.
  o These instructions will optimize the performance rather than uses table-based lookup.

• TTASFutex Lock release barrier (aarch64).
  o For lock release use ATOMIC_RELEASE (os_wmb) instead of ATOMIC_ACQUIRE (os_rmb).
Summary

• Based on the Qualcomm Centriq™ 2400 Arm-based 48-core processor, MariaDB is a highly performant solution vis-à-vis other MySQL forms

• Details of our findings can be found in: https://jira.mariadb.org/browse/MDEV-14442

• Submissions and findings have also been posted here at MySQL: https://bugs.mysql.com/

Special thanks to David Thompson and Sergey Vojtovich of MariaDB for their involvement and support